UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO		CONFIRMATION NO.
09/989,722	11/19/2001	Avi J. Ashkenazi	P2730P1C63	1427
35489 HELLER EHRI	7590 04/16/2007 MAN LLP	EXAMINER		
275 MIDDLEF		WEGERT, SANDRA L		
MENLU PARK	C, CA 94025-3506		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647	
	·			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	04/16/2007	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Арр	oplication No. Applicant(s)					
		09/9	989,722	ASHKENAZI E	ASHKENAZI ET AL.			
		Exa	miner	Art Unit				
		San	dra Wegert	1647				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	tion appears o	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence	address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF CFR 1.136(a). In cation. Bry period will apply by statute, cause to the cause of th	OF THIS COMMU in no event, however, may or and will expire SIX (6) M the application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed IONTHS from the mailing date of the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n 31 Januar	2007					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	•	,	ŕ				
	•	ro pondina in	the application		•			
•	Claim(s) 124,129-131 and 135-145 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
·	☑ Claim(s) <u>124,129-131 and 135-138</u> is/are allowed. ☑ Claim(s) <u>139-145</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) 133-143 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
• —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or elec	tion requirement					
•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Tand/or elec	non requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers				·			
•	The specification is objected to by the E			•				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 November 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawir	ng(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	e correction is	required if the draw	ng(s) is objected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
A 440.ab								
Attachmen 1) Notice			A) 🗖 Intonés	w Summany (PTO 442)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) 🔲 Infor	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	•		of Informal Patent Application				

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Application, Amendments and/or Claims

The Remarks submitted 31 January 2007 have been considered. Claims 124, 129-131 and 135-145 are under consideration in the instant application.

Withdrawn Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101 and 35 USC § 112

The rejection of Claims 124, 129-131 and 135-145 under 35 USC § 101 and 35 USC § 112, for lack of Utility and Enablement is *withdrawn* based on Applicants' arguments (31 January 2007). New rejections for claims 139-145 are below.

New Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, Written Description

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 139-145 are rejected are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor, at the time the application

Application/Control Number: 09/989,722

Art Unit: 1647

was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim limitations are presented to nucleic acids that hybridize to SEQ ID NO: 350 under stringent conditions, as well as host cells and vectors comprising.

The specification teaches a polynucleotide (SEQ ID NO: 350). However, the specification does not teach functional or structural characteristics of all claimed polynucleotides. The description of one polynucleotide encoding a PRO polypeptide (SEQ ID NO: 350) is not adequate written description of an entire genus of polynucleotides.

To provide evidence of possession of a claimed genus, the specification must provide sufficient distinguishing identifying characteristics of the genus. The factors to be considered include disclosure of complete or partial structure, physical and/or chemical properties, functional characteristics, structure/function correlation, methods of making the claimed product, or any combination thereof. In this case, the only factor present in the claim is a recitation of hybridization stringency. There is not even identification of any particular portion of the structure that must be conserved. Accordingly, in the absence of sufficient recitation of distinguishing identifying characteristics, the specification does not provide adequate written description of the claimed genus.

Was-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar, 19USPQ2d 1111, clearly states that "applicant must convey with reasonable clarity to those skilled in the art that, as of the filing date sought, he or she was in possession of the invention. The invention is, for purposes of the 'written description' inquiry, whatever is now claimed" (See page 1117). The specification does not "clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [he or she] invented what is claimed" (See Vas-Cath at page 1116).

With the exception of the sequence of SEQ ID NO: 350 referred to above, the skilled artisan cannot envision the detailed chemical structure of all claimed polynucleotides and all encompassed PRO polynucleotides, and therefore, would not know how to use them.

Conception is not achieved until reduction to practice has occurred, regardless of the complexity or simplicity of the method of making. Adequate written description requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and reference to a potential method of use. The nucleotide *itself* is required. See *Fiers v. Revel*, 25 USPQ2d 1601 at 1606 (CAFC 1993) and *Amgen Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd.*, 18 USPQ2d 1016.

One cannot describe what one has not conceived. See *Fiddes v. Baird*, 30 USPQ2d 1481 at 1483. In *Fiddes*, claims directed to mammalian FGF's were found to be unpatentable due to lack of written description for that broad class. The specification provided only the bovine sequence.

Therefore, only an isolated nucleic acid molecule comprising the nucleotide sequence of SEQ ID NO: 350, but not the full breadth of the claims, meets the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph. Applicant is reminded that *Vas-Cath* makes clear that the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. §112 is severable from its enablement provision (see page 1115).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, second paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 139-145 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 5

Claim 139-145 are rendered indefinite because of the phrase "is suitable for use as a PCR primer or probe." It cannot be determined what is meant by the phrase, and especially how it limits the nucleic acid fragments recited in the claim. It could be said, for example, that *all* nucleic acids are suitable for PCR. This rejection can be overcome by supplying specific characteristics of nucleic acids that fit this requirement or by removing the indefinite phrase.

Conclusion

Claims 124, 129-131 and 135-138 are allowable. Claims 139-145 are rejected.

Advisory information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sandra Wegert whose telephone number is (571) 272-0895. The examiner can normally be reached Monday - Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM (Eastern Time). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback, can be reached at (571) 272-0961.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Application/Control Number: 09/989,722

Art Unit: 1647

Page 6

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SLW

12 April 2007

EILEEN B. O'HARA PRIMARY EXAMINER